

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,428	01/11/2006	Clint Alan Ecoff	PU030200	2051
24498 7590 09/26/2008 Joseph J. Laks			EXAMINER	
Thomson Licensing LLC 2 Independence Way, Patent Operations PO Box 5312			LEE, MICHAEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PRINCETON, NJ 08543			2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/564,428 ECOFF ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit M. Lee -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 January 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5.7-16 and 18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6, 17 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/564,428

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1-5, and 8-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Teichner et al. (7,428,022).

Regarding claim 1, Teichner discloses a video reception system showing a first tuning means (130), a first demodulating means (136), a second tuning means (140), a second demodulating means (146), and a third demodulating means (134, 144).

Regarding claims 2 and 3, the input RF signals in Teichner are intended to use in different environment (col. 3, lines 60-64). When used in home or office environments, the RF sources can be provided from a terrestrial signal source or a cable signal source.

Regarding claim 4, Teichner shows a first analog demodulator (136), a second analog demodulator (146), and a digital demodulator (134, 144).

Regarding claim 5, Teichner shows a first AGC signal (132), a second AGC signal (142), and a third AGC signal (132, 142). The third AGC signal is generated

Application/Control Number: 10/564,428

Art Unit: 2622

either by the digital demodulator 134 or the digital demodulator 144. It depends which is being selected.

Regarding claims 8-16, see the corresponding rejections as set forth above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 7 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Teichner et al. (7,428,022).

Regarding claims 7 and 18, Teichner does not disclose the IF switching means as claimed. In any event, it is recognized that the digital selector 110 in Teichner is essentially the equivalent of the IF switching means as claimed except that Teichner performs the switching operation after the IF demodulation while the current application is performed during before the IF demodulation. Despite the difference, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that the intentions of both are the same. Hence, knowing that the switching operation could be performed either before or the IF demodulator, it would also have been obvious to the ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made to modify Teichner so that switching operation could be alternatively performed before the IF demodulator.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,428 Page 4

Art Unit: 2622

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 6 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Jeon et al. (5,926,228) shows two tuners.

Belcher et al. (5,557,617) shows a plurality of tuners and demodulators.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to M. Lee whose telephone number 571-272-7349. The
examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran, can be reached on 571-272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/564,428 Page 5

Art Unit: 2622

/M. Lee/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2622